



United States Department of Agriculture

Incentives for Food Safety and the Public Disclosure Food Safety Performance in Chicken Slaughter

Michael Ollinger, James Wilkus, Megan Hrdlicka, and John
Bovay

Based on ERS report, ERR-231, <https://www.ers.usda.gov/publications/pub-details/?pubid=83660>.

Presented at American Agricultural Economics Association Post-Conference Seminar,
“Making the most of Federal Data: Combining Data for Economic Analysis,” August 8, 2018





United States Department of Agriculture

The Safety of Chicken

- Chicken is a major source of *Salmonella*, the second leading cause of foodborne illness in the U.S.
- Market Failure
 - Buyers cannot evaluate food safety, giving business an incentive to under-provide food safety





United States Department of Agriculture

Incentives for Food Safety


- Businesses incur costs if determined to be a source of foodborne illness, i.,e. reputation matters
- FSIS inspects plants; enacts Pathogen Reduction /Hazard Analysis Critical Control Point rule (PR/HACCP) in 1996





United States Department of Agriculture

Share of young chickens testing positive for *Salmonella* over 2000-14.



Source: Author's estimates using FSIS data.





United States Department of Agriculture

Variables for Empirical Tests

- Food Safety Performance
- Plant size
- Plant further processing
- Performance of sanitation tasks
- Additional plant controls
- Food safety market environment





United States Department of Agriculture

Possible Data

- FSIS Administrative Data
- Census Microdata
- Industry-level public data





United States Department of Agriculture

The Limits of FSIS Administrative Data

- Has food safety process control information
- No cost or revenue information.
- No product information.
- Little information on plant characteristics.
 - FSIS contracts with Research Triangle Institute (RTI) and Dunn and Bradstreet for some data, but most data is imprecise.





United States Department of Agriculture

Linking FSIS Data to Establishment-Level Economic Data from Census

- Data set would have extensive food safety, cost, and production information.

BUT

- There would be many data leakages:
 - Poor matches of FSIS data with Census data
 - FSIS does not collect some key information each year.





United States Department of Agriculture

The Data I Used

- Administrative Data
 - Three regulatory areas: *Salmonella* test results; sanitation and process controls; inspection of animals.
 - Plant economic data: multi-plant firm and number of employees obtained under contract with Dunn and Bradstreet
- Food Safety Environment
 - Number of plants suffering recalls, controls for aggregate changes in *Salmonella* levels.





United States Department of Agriculture

Effect of Regulatory Periods on Performance on *Salmonella* tests.

- The percent of samples testing positive for *Salmonella* dropped by about 10.5 percent.
- By 2011, about 80 percent of all young chicken slaughter establishments had *Salmonella* levels equal to one-sixth the tolerance that had existed in 2005.





United States Department of Agriculture

Thank You !

Michael Ollinger (ollinger@ers.usda.gov, 202-694-5454),
James Wilkus, Megan Hrdlicka, and John Bovay
ERS report, ERR-231, [https://www.ers.usda.gov/publications/pub-
details/?pubid=83660](https://www.ers.usda.gov/publications/pub-details/?pubid=83660)

